



**U.S. Department of Justice**

*United States Attorney  
District of Maryland  
Southern Division*

Judson T. Mihok  
Assistant United States Attorney  
Judson.Mihok@usdoj.gov

*Mailing Address:*  
36 S. Charles Street, 4th Floor  
Baltimore, MD 21201

*Office Location:*  
36 S. Charles Street, 4th Floor  
Baltimore, MD 21201

DIRECT: 410-209-4903  
MAIN: 410-209-4800  
FAX: 410-962-0716

July 9, 2019

Ryan Burke  
Law Offices of Ryan Burke  
812 N. Calvert St.  
Suite: I  
Baltimore, MD 21202

Re: United States v. David Livingston Atttoh,  
Criminal No. GLR 19-0032

Dear Counsel:

This letter, together with the Sealed Supplement, confirms the plea agreement (this "Agreement") that has been offered to your client, David Livingston Atttoh (hereinafter "Defendant"), by the United States Attorney's Office for the District of Maryland ("this Office"). If the Defendant accepts this offer, please have the Defendant execute it in the spaces provided below. If this offer has not been accepted by July 31, 2019, it will be deemed withdrawn. The terms of the Agreement are as follows:

Offense(s) of Conviction

1. The Defendant agrees to plead guilty to Counts One and Fourteen of the Indictment charging him with Conspiracy to Commit Bank Fraud, in violation of Title 18, United States Code § 1349, and Aggravated Identity Theft, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1028A(a)(1). The Defendant admits that the Defendant is, in fact, guilty of the offense(s) and will so advise the Court.

Elements of the Offense(s)

The elements of the offense(s) to which the Defendant has agreed to plead guilty, and which this Office would prove if the case went to trial, are as follows: That on or about the time alleged in the Indictment, in the District of Maryland, the Defendant committed the following offense(s):

Count One-Bank Fraud Conspiracy

FILED  
U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF MARYLAND  
2019 SEP -6 PM 3:11  
CLERK'S OFFICE  
AT BALTIMORE  
BY \_\_\_\_\_ DEPUTY

First, that two or more persons, in some way or manner, agreed to try to accomplish a common and unlawful plan to commit bank fraud, as charged in the indictment, and the elements of which are set forth below; and

Second, the defendant knew the unlawful purpose of the plan and willfully joined in it.

Elements of Bank Fraud: That the defendant knowingly executed a scheme or artifice to defraud a financial institution and to obtain the money, funds or other property owned by or under the control of a financial institution by means of material false or fraudulent pretenses, representations or promises; the defendant did so with the intent to defraud and the financial institution was insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

#### Count Fourteen-Aggravated Identity Theft

First, that the defendant knowingly used, transferred, or possessed a means of identification of another person;

Second, that the defendant used the means of identification during and in relation to the offense of bank and wire fraud conspiracy as charged in Count One; and

Third, that the defendant acted without lawful authority.

#### Penalties

2. The maximum penalties provided by statute for the offense(s) to which the Defendant is pleading guilty are as follows:

Count	Statute	Minimum Prison	Maximum Prison	Supervised Release	Maximum Fine	Special Assessment
1	18 U.S.C. § 1349	N/A	30 years	5 years	\$1,000,000	\$100
14	18 U.S.C. § 1028A(a)(1)	2 years		1 years	\$250,000	\$100

a. Prison: If the Court orders a term of imprisonment, the Bureau of Prisons has sole discretion to designate the institution at which it will be served.

b. Supervised Release: If the Court orders a term of supervised release, and the Defendant violates the conditions of supervised release, the Court may order the Defendant returned to custody to serve a term of imprisonment as permitted by statute, followed by an additional term of supervised release.

c. Restitution: The Court may order the Defendant to pay restitution pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3663, 3663A, and 3664.



d. Payment: If a fine or restitution is imposed, it shall be payable immediately, unless the Court orders otherwise under 18 U.S.C. § 3572(d). The Defendant may be required to pay interest if the fine is not paid when due.

e. Forfeiture: The Court may enter an order of forfeiture of assets directly traceable to the offense, substitute assets, and/or a money judgment equal to the value of the property subject to forfeiture.

f. Collection of Debts: If the Court imposes a fine or restitution, this Office's Financial Litigation Unit will be responsible for collecting the debt. If the Court establishes a schedule of payments, the Defendant agrees that: (1) the full amount of the fine or restitution is nonetheless due and owing immediately; (2) the schedule of payments is merely a minimum schedule of payments and not the only method, nor a limitation on the methods, available to the United States to enforce the judgment; and (3) the United States may fully employ all powers to collect on the total amount of the debt as provided by law. Until the debt is paid, the Defendant agrees to disclose all assets in which the Defendant has any interest or over which the Defendant exercises direct or indirect control. Until the money judgment is satisfied, the Defendant authorizes this Office to obtain a credit report in order to evaluate the Defendant's ability to pay, and to request and review the Defendant's federal and state income tax returns. The Defendant agrees to complete and sign a copy of IRS Form 8821 (relating to the voluntary disclosure of federal tax return information) and a financial statement in a form provided by this Office.

#### Waiver of Rights

3. The Defendant understands that by entering into this Agreement, the Defendant surrenders certain rights as outlined below:

a. If the Defendant had pled not guilty and persisted in that plea, the Defendant would have had the right to a speedy jury trial with the close assistance of competent counsel. That trial could be conducted by a judge, without a jury, if the Defendant, this Office, and the Court all agreed.

b. If the Defendant elected a jury trial, the jury would be composed of twelve individuals selected from the community. Counsel and the Defendant would have the opportunity to challenge prospective jurors who demonstrated bias or who were otherwise unqualified, and would have the opportunity to strike a certain number of jurors peremptorily. All twelve jurors would have to agree unanimously before the Defendant could be found guilty of any count. The jury would be instructed that the Defendant was presumed to be innocent, and that presumption could be overcome only by proof beyond a reasonable doubt.

c. If the Defendant went to trial, the Government would have the burden of proving the Defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. The Defendant would have the right to confront and cross-examine the Government's witnesses. The Defendant would not have to present any defense witnesses or evidence whatsoever. If the Defendant wanted to call witnesses in defense, however, the Defendant would have the subpoena power of the Court to compel the witnesses to attend.



d. The Defendant would have the right to testify in the Defendant's own defense if the Defendant so chose, and the Defendant would have the right to refuse to testify. If the Defendant chose not to testify, the Court could instruct the jury that they could not draw any adverse inference from the Defendant's decision not to testify.

e. If the Defendant were found guilty after a trial, the Defendant would have the right to appeal the verdict and the Court's pretrial and trial decisions on the admissibility of evidence to see if any errors were committed which would require a new trial or dismissal of the charges. By pleading guilty, the Defendant knowingly gives up the right to appeal the verdict and the Court's decisions.

f. By pleading guilty, the Defendant will be giving up all of these rights, except the right, under the limited circumstances set forth in the "Waiver of Appeal" paragraph below, to appeal the sentence. By pleading guilty, the Defendant understands that the Defendant may have to answer the Court's questions both about the rights being given up and about the facts of the case. Any statements that the Defendant makes during such a hearing would not be admissible against the Defendant during a trial except in a criminal proceeding for perjury or false statement.

g. If the Court accepts the Defendant's plea of guilty, the Defendant will be giving up the right to file and have the Court rule on pretrial motions, and there will be no further trial or proceeding of any kind in the above-referenced criminal case, and the Court will find the Defendant guilty.

h. By pleading guilty, the Defendant will also be giving up certain valuable civil rights and may be subject to deportation or other loss of immigration status, including possible denaturalization. The Defendant recognizes that if the Defendant is not a citizen of the United States, or is a naturalized citizen, pleading guilty may have consequences with respect to the Defendant's immigration status. Under federal law, conviction for a broad range of crimes can lead to adverse immigration consequences, including automatic removal from the United States. Removal and other immigration consequences are the subject of a separate proceeding, however, and the Defendant understands that no one, including the Defendant's attorney or the Court, can predict with certainty the effect of a conviction on immigration status. The Defendant is not relying on any promise or belief about the immigration consequences of pleading guilty. The Defendant nevertheless affirms that the Defendant wants to plead guilty regardless of any potential immigration consequences.

#### Advisory Sentencing Guidelines Apply

4. The Defendant understands that the Court will determine a sentencing guidelines range for this case (henceforth the "advisory guidelines range") pursuant to the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984 at 18 U.S.C. § 3551-3742 (excepting 18 U.S.C. § 3553(b)(1) and 3742(e)) and 28 U.S.C. §§ 991 through 998. The Defendant further understands that the Court will impose a sentence pursuant to the Sentencing Reform Act, as excised, and must take into account the advisory guidelines range in establishing a reasonable sentence.



Factual and Advisory Guidelines Stipulation

5. This Office and the Defendant stipulate and agree to the Statement of Facts set forth in Attachment A, which is incorporated by reference herein.

a. This Office and the Defendant further agree that the applicable base offense level is 7 pursuant to U.S.S.G. §2B1.1(a)(1).

b. Because the loss exceeded \$250,000, but was less than \$550,000, the offense level is increased by 12. U.S.S.G. §2B1.1(b)(1)(H). (Subtotal: 19).

c. Because the offense involved 10 or more victims, there is an increase of 2 levels. U.S.S.G. §2B1.1(b)(2)(A). (Subtotal: 21).

d. Count Fourteen: Aggravated Identity Theft

i. Pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 2B1.6, twenty-four (24) months must be imposed consecutively to the sentence imposed on Count One.

e. This Office does not oppose a 2-level reduction in the Defendant's adjusted offense level pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1(a), based upon the Defendant's apparent prompt recognition and affirmative acceptance of personal responsibility for the Defendant's criminal conduct. This Office agrees to make a motion pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1(b) for an additional 1-level decrease in recognition of the Defendant's timely notification of the Defendant's intention to enter a plea of guilty. This Office may oppose any adjustment for acceptance of responsibility under U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1(a) and may decline to make a motion pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1(b), if the Defendant: (i) fails to admit each and every item in the factual stipulation; (ii) denies involvement in the offense; (iii) gives conflicting statements about the Defendant's involvement in the offense; (iv) is untruthful with the Court, this Office, or the United States Probation Office; (v) obstructs or attempts to obstruct justice prior to sentencing; (vi) engages in any criminal conduct between the date of this Agreement and the date of sentencing; (vii) attempts to withdraw the plea of guilty; or (viii) violates this Agreement in any way. The final anticipated base offense level is 18 with twenty-four (24) months to be imposed consecutive on Count Fourteen.

6. There is no agreement as to the Defendant's criminal history and the Defendant understands that the Defendant's criminal history could alter the Defendant's offense level. Specifically, the Defendant understands that the Defendant's criminal history could alter the final offense level if the Defendant is determined to be a career offender or if the instant offense was a part of a pattern of criminal conduct from which the Defendant derived a substantial portion of the Defendant's income.

7. Other than as set forth above, no other offense characteristics, sentencing guidelines factors, potential departures or adjustments set forth in the United States Sentencing Guidelines are in dispute or will be raised in calculating the advisory guidelines range.



Obligations of the Parties

8. At the time of sentencing, this Office and the Defendant reserve the right to advocate for a reasonable sentence, period of supervised release, and/or fine considering any appropriate factors under 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a). This Office and the Defendant reserve the right to bring to the Court's attention all information with respect to the Defendant's background, character, and conduct that this Office or the Defendant deem relevant to sentencing, including the conduct that is the subject of any counts of the Indictment. At the time of sentencing, this Office will move to dismiss any open counts against the Defendant.

Waiver of Appeal

9. In exchange for the concessions made by this Office and the Defendant in this Agreement, this Office and the Defendant waive their rights to appeal as follows:

a. The Defendant knowingly waives all right, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1291 or any other statute or constitutional provision, to appeal the Defendant's conviction on any ground whatsoever. This includes a waiver of all right to appeal the Defendant's conviction on the ground that the statute(s) to which the Defendant is pleading guilty is unconstitutional, or on the ground that the admitted conduct does not fall within the scope of the statute(s), to the extent that such challenges legally can be waived.

b. The Defendant and this Office knowingly and expressly waive all rights conferred by 18 U.S.C. § 3742 to appeal whatever sentence is imposed (including any term of imprisonment, fine, term of supervised release, or order of restitution) for any reason (including the establishment of the advisory sentencing guidelines range, the determination of the Defendant's criminal history, the weighing of the sentencing factors, and any constitutional challenges to the calculation and imposition of any term of imprisonment, fine, order of forfeiture, order of restitution, and term or condition of supervised release), except as follows:

i. The Defendant reserves the right to appeal any sentence that exceeds the statutory maximum; and

ii. This Office reserves the right to appeal any sentence below a statutory minimum.

c. The Defendant waives any and all rights under the Freedom of Information Act relating to the investigation and prosecution of the above-captioned matter and agrees not to file any request for documents from this Office or any investigating agency.

Forfeiture

10. The Defendant understands that the Court may enter an Order of Forfeiture as part of the Defendant's sentence, and that the Order of Forfeiture may include assets directly traceable



to the offense(s), substitute assets, and/or a money judgment equal to the value of the property derived from, or otherwise involved in, the offenses.

11. Specifically, but without limitation on the Government's right to forfeit all property subject to forfeiture as permitted by law, the Defendant agrees to forfeit to the United States all of the Defendant's right, title, and interest in the following items that the Defendant agrees constitute money, property, and/or assets derived from or obtained by the Defendant as a result of, or used to facilitate the commission of, the Defendant's illegal activities.

12. The Defendant agrees to consent to the entry of orders of forfeiture for the property described herein and waives the requirements of Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure 11(b)(1)(J), 32.2, and 43(a) regarding notice of the forfeiture in the charging instrument, advice regarding forfeiture during the change of plea hearing, announcement of the forfeiture at sentencing, and incorporation of the forfeiture in the judgment.

13. The Defendant agrees to assist fully in the forfeiture of the above property. The Defendant agrees to disclose all assets and sources of income, to consent to all requests for access to information related to assets and income, and to take all steps necessary to pass clear title to the forfeited assets to the United States, including executing all documents necessary to transfer such title, assisting in bringing any assets located outside of the United States within the jurisdiction of the United States, and taking whatever steps are necessary to ensure that assets subject to forfeiture are made available for forfeiture.

14. The Defendant waives all challenges to any forfeiture carried out in accordance with this Agreement on any grounds, including any and all constitutional, legal, equitable, statutory, or administrative grounds brought by any means, including through direct appeal, habeas corpus petition, or civil complaint. The Defendant will not challenge or seek review of any civil or administrative forfeiture of any property subject to forfeiture under this Agreement, and will not assist any third party with any challenge or review or any petition for remission of forfeiture.

#### Defendant's Conduct Prior to Sentencing and Breach

15. Between now and the date of the sentencing, the Defendant will not engage in conduct that constitutes obstruction of justice under U.S.S.G. § 3C1.1; will not violate any federal, state, or local law; will acknowledge guilt to the probation officer and the Court; will be truthful in any statement to the Court, this Office, law enforcement agents, and probation officers; will cooperate in the preparation of the presentence report; and will not move to withdraw from the plea of guilty or from this Agreement.

16. If the Defendant engages in conduct prior to sentencing that violates the above paragraph of this Agreement, and the Court finds a violation by a preponderance of the evidence, then: (i) this Office will be free from its obligations under this Agreement; (ii) this Office may make sentencing arguments and recommendations different from those set out in this Agreement, even if the Agreement was reached pursuant to Rule 11(c)(1)(C); and (iii) in any criminal or civil proceeding, this Office will be free to use against the Defendant all statements made by the Defendant and any of the information or materials provided by the Defendant, including



statements, information, and materials provided pursuant to this Agreement, and statements made during proceedings before the Court pursuant to Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. A determination that this Office is released from its obligations under this Agreement will not permit the Defendant to withdraw the guilty plea. The Defendant acknowledges that the Defendant may not withdraw the Defendant's guilty plea—even if made pursuant to Rule 11(c)(1)(C)—if the Court finds that the Defendant breached the Agreement. In that event, neither the Court nor the Government will be bound by the specific sentence or sentencing range agreed and stipulated to herein pursuant to Rule 11(c)(1)(C).

Court Not a Party

17. The Court is not a party to this Agreement. The sentence to be imposed is within the sole discretion of the Court. The Court is not bound by the Sentencing Guidelines stipulation in this Agreement. The Court will determine the facts relevant to sentencing. The Court is not required to accept any recommendation or stipulation of the parties. The Court has the power to impose a sentence up to the maximum penalty allowed by law. If the Court makes sentencing findings different from those stipulated in this Agreement, or if the Court imposes any sentence up to the maximum allowed by statute, the Defendant will remain bound to fulfill all of the obligations under this Agreement. Neither the prosecutor, defense counsel, nor the Court can make a binding prediction, promise, or representation as to what guidelines range or sentence the Defendant will receive. The Defendant agrees that no one has made such a binding prediction or promise.


Entire Agreement

18. This letter, together with the Sealed Supplement, constitutes the complete plea agreement in this case. This letter, together with the Sealed Supplement, supersedes any prior understandings, promises, or conditions between this Office and the Defendant. There are no other agreements, promises, undertakings, or understandings between the Defendant and this Office other than those set forth in this letter and the Sealed Supplement. No changes to this Agreement will be effective unless in writing, signed by all parties and approved by the Court.

If the Defendant fully accepts each and every term and condition of this Agreement, please sign and have the Defendant sign the original and return it to me promptly.

Very truly yours,

Robert K. Hur  
United States Attorney


  
Judson T. Mihok  
Assistant United States Attorney

I have read this Agreement, including the Sealed Supplement, and carefully reviewed every part of it with my attorney. I understand it and I voluntarily agree to it. Specifically, I have




reviewed the Factual and Advisory Guidelines Stipulation with my attorney and I do not wish to change any part of it. I am completely satisfied with the representation of my attorney.

8/22/2019  
Date

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
David Livingston Attoh

I am the Defendant's attorney. I have carefully reviewed every part of this Agreement, including the Sealed Supplement with the Defendant. The Defendant advises me that the Defendant understands and accepts its terms. To my knowledge, the Defendant's decision to enter into this Agreement is an informed and voluntary one.

8/22/19  
Date

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Ryan Burke, Esq.



**ATTACHMENT A**

**STIPULATION OF FACTS**

*The undersigned parties stipulate and agree that if this case had proceeded to trial, this Office would have proven the following facts beyond a reasonable doubt. The undersigned parties also stipulate and agree that the following facts do not encompass all of the evidence that would have been presented had this matter proceeded to trial.*

DAVID LIVINGSTON ATTOH ("ATTOH") age 31, is a citizen of Ghana, a lawful permanent resident of the United States, and a resident of Laurel, Maryland.

From in or about September 1, 2015, and continuing until at least in or about June 28, 2016, ATTOH and others, including, but not limited to, Kabir ARE, Erwin BOATENG, Kwaku Boateng BLAY, Franck Ulrich NOCHE NSIYABNZE, and "M.K." opened over three dozen bank accounts with the stolen personal identifying information of other individuals, and then transferred funds fraudulently via ACH transfers or deposited funds utilizing stolen and altered checks. After the funds were deposited into the accounts, the funds were quickly dissipated through further transfers and/or withdrawals.

The victims include, but are not limited to, the following individuals: "D.S.," "M.H.," "C.R.H.," and "N.N.R." The Bank of America/FIA Card Services Account Victims include, but are not limited to, the following businesses: D and J Printing; Graspointer Management Co., Inc.; K & P Capital, Inc.; TVJ Sons, Inc.; Lighthouse Electric, Inc.; Triple D Planting, Co.; and Paving Systems. The accounts were opened with stolen personal information of other individuals and businesses at BB&T Bank, Bank of America ("BOA"), Citizens Bank, Educational Systems Federal Credit Union ("ESFCU"), PNC Bank, and Wilmington Savings Fund Society Bank ("WSFS"). The total intended loss was approximately \$374,076.90. The actual loss, that is funds successfully withdrawn, was \$188,176.24. Specific examples of fraudulent transactions include, but are not limited to, the following:

On or about September 14, 2015, ATTOH opened two ESFCU checking accounts (XXX0033-3 and XXX0033-6) and one savings account (XXX0033-0), in the name of David Livingston ATTOH. At the time of account opening, ATTOH listed A.A. as his brother and sponsoring family member. ATTOH also listed an address in Silver Spring, MD, as his address and XXX-XXX-4227 as his phone number.

On or about October 15, 2015, ATTOH and others known and unknown to the Grand Jury, deposited, and caused to be deposited, a fraudulent ACH credit in the amount of \$45,000 into ATTOH's ESFCU XXX0033-6 checking account. Prior to this credit, the balance in the account was \$0.

Between October 16, 2015 and October 21, 2015, nine withdrawals were made from ATTOH's ESFCU XXX0033-6 checking account. These transactions included a \$3,002.10 withdrawal at the Wal-Mart Super Center Hanover location, and two separate withdrawals (\$3,002.10 and \$1,000.70) Wal-Mart Super Center Severn location. There were also three cash



withdrawals made on or about the following dates: October 16, 2015 in the amount of \$2,500.00; October 19, 2015 in the amount of \$2,500.00; and October 21, 2015 in the amount of \$450.00. In addition, three cashier's checks were purchased between October 16, 2015 and October 19, 2015 (\$9,000.00, \$8,000.00, and \$7,000.00), that were made payable to David LIVINGSTON ATTOH.

On or about October 16, 2015, ATTOH and others known and unknown to the Grand Jury, transferred and caused to be transferred \$8,500.00 from ATTOH's ESFCU XXX0033-6 checking account to ATTOH's ESFCU XXX0033-3 checking account. On or about October 19, 2015, a cashier's check for \$8,000.00 was made payable to David LIVINGSTON ATTOH from ATTOH's ESFCU XXX0033-3 checking account. On or about October 21, 2015, two separate cash withdrawals for \$202.50 were made in Silver Spring, MD. On or about October 22, 2015, a cash withdrawal for \$102.50 was made in Silver Spring, MD. As of October 21, 2015, the balance in ATTOH's ESFCU XXX0033-6 checking account was \$45.10.

On November 17, 2015, BOA sent ESFCU a hold harmless agreement indicating that the fraudulent \$45,000.00 ACH transfer deposited into ATTOH's ESFCU XXX0033-6 checking account was an unauthorized transfer from the BOA account XXXX XXXX XXXX 8333 in the name of GRASPOINTER MANAGEMENT CO. INC.

On or about November 16, 2015, \$20.00 was transferred from ATTOH's ESFCU XXX0033-6 checking account to ATTOH's ESFCU XXX0033-3 checking account. On or about November 18, 2015, \$20.00 was transferred from ATTOH's ESFCU XXX0033-3 checking account to ATTOH's ESFCU XXX0033-0 savings account. On or about November 18, 2015, \$25.10 was also transferred from ATTOH's ESFCU XXX0033-6 checking account to ATTOH's ESFCU XXX0033-0 savings account.

On or about November 18, 2015, ESFCU forced a withdrawal from ATTOH's savings account (\$53.73) in the form of cashier checks payable to BOA, leaving the account balance at \$5.00.

On or about December 16, 2015, ATTOH identified himself as N.N.R. (SSN/EIN/TIN: XXX-XX-6808), DBA "NXXXXX RXXXXX," presented MD Driver's License Number RXXXXXXXXX4930 as identification, and opened account number XXXXXXXXXXX5828 at BB&T Bank. ATTOH also provided the cellular phone number of (XXX)-XXX-6237.

On or about April 13, 2016, ATTOH and others known and unknown to the Grand Jury deposited and caused to be deposited an electronic deposit described as "Rfnd Disb EPS D.H." in the amount of \$6,109.10 was credited into the ATTOH/"N.N.R." BB&T XXXXXXXXXXX5828 checking account.

On or about April 13, 2016, ATTOH and others known and unknown to the Grand Jury wrote two checks drawn on the ATTOH/"NR" BB&T XXXXXXXXXXX5828 checking account: check #202 made payable to GEM CONSULTANT, LLC., in the amount of \$2,800.00; and check #203 made payable to GEM ACCOUNTING, LLC., in the amount \$3,200.00.




On or about June 28, 2016, ATTOH and others known and unknown to the Grand Jury deposited and caused to be deposited check number 1006, drawn on the account of A.H., with an address in Laurel, MD, for \$1,500.00 into the ATTOH/"NR" BB&T XXXXXXXXX5828 checking account.

Beginning on or about December 16, 2015 and continuing through on or about June 28, 2016, ATTOH, did, during and in relation to a felony violation enumerated in 18 U.S.C. § 1028A(c), knowingly transfer, possess and use, without lawful authority, a means of identification of another person, to wit: the defendant used the means of identification of N.N.R., during and in relation to conspiracy to commit bank fraud, and bank fraud, under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1349 and 1344, as set forth in Counts One and Thirteen of the Indictment.



The above-described scheme involved wires relating to instructions to transfer money which traveled in interstate and foreign commerce. In addition, the banks that were victimized had their deposits insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

As indicated above, in furtherance of the fraud scheme, the funds would be quickly drawn out of the accounts, thus dissipating the balance in the account. The illegally obtained proceeds would be split between "M.K.," NOCHE NSIYABNZE, Are, Atttoh, Boateng, and Blay, and others known and unknown, with M.K. typically getting the bulk of the proceeds, and NOCHE NSIYABNZE and others splitting the remains.

SO STIPULATED:



Judson T. Mihok  
Assistant United States Attorney

  
David Livingston Atttoh  
Defendant  
Ryan Burke, Esq.  
Counsel for Defendant